

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/565,492	Applicant(s) AVERY ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____

 (2) Eugene C. Rzucidlo {Reg. No. 31,900}

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 October 2007
Time: 11:25am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to discuss the lack of antecedent basis in claims 3 and 6. It was agreed that: (1) the language in claim 3 would be changed to "A pharmaceutical composition"; (2) claim 6 would be cancelled; and (3) a new claim 11 would be added to read similarly to instant claim 4 but instead be dependent on the composition of claim 3.

SS
10/22/07